arXiv:math/0309396v1 [math.OA] 24 Sep 2003

TWISTED ACTIONS AND THE OBSTRUCTION TO EXTENDING UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF SUBGROUPS

ASTRID AN HUEF AND IAIN RAEBURN

Abstract. Suppose that G is a locally compact group and π is a (not necessarily irreducible) unitary representation of a closed normal subgroup N of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We extend results of Clifford and Mackey to determine when π extends to a unitary representation of G on the same space $\mathcal{H}$ in terms of a cohomological obstruction.

Let G be a group and $\pi : N \to U(\mathcal{H})$ a unitary representation of a normal subgroup N of G. When is π the restriction of a unitary representation of G?

If π does extend to a representation ρ of G, then $\rho(s)$ implements a unitary equivalence between π and $\pi^s : n \mapsto \pi(sns^{-1})$. So an obvious necessary condition is that π should be equivalent to π^s for each $s \in G$ (we say that π is *G-invariant*), and the problem is to decide when a G-invariant representation extends.

Clifford answered this extension problem in [2] when G is discrete, π is irreducible and $\mathcal{H}$ is finite-dimensional. In modern language, Clifford showed that if π is G-invariant, then there is an obstruction to extending the representation in the cohomology group $H^2(G/N, \mathbb{T})$, where $\mathbb{T}$ is the unit circle. Mackey extended Clifford's result to irreducible unitary representations of normal closed subgroups of locally compact groups [7, Theorem 8.2]. Mackey's solution involves Borel cocycles, so his obstruction lies in a cohomology theory where all the cochains are Borel. The resulting cohomology groups were subsequently analysed by Moore in [9, 10, 11, 12].

This extension problem has recently resurfaced in the context of compact Lie groups in [1], where it was tackled using the structure theory of Lie groups, and in [3], where it was studied in the context of nonabelian duality for locally compact groups and crossed products of C^*-algebras. Here we investigate a cohomological obstruction to the extension of an arbitrary G-invariant unitary representation π of N, and its relationship to the results in [1] and [3]. Our obstruction is a twisted action of G/N on the von Neumann algebra $\pi(N)'$ of operators which commute with every $\pi(n)$; the representation extends if and only if this twisted action is equivalent, in a natural sense, to an ordinary action. We then use a stabilisation trick to show that if π is G-invariant then infinite multiples $\pi \otimes 1$ of π always extend.

Preliminaries. Let G be a second-countable locally compact group with a closed normal subgroup N. We endow the group $U(\mathcal{H})$ of all unitary operators on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with the strong operator topology, and note that $U(\mathcal{H})$ is a Polish group (in the sense that the topology is given by a complete metric). A unitary representation ρ of G

Date: September 22, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C99, 22D10, 22D25, 46L10.

This research was supported by grants from the Australian Research Council and the University of New South Wales.

is a continuous homomorphism $\rho : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$. A function $f : G \to \mathcal{H}$ is Borel if $f^{-1}(O)$ is a Borel set for each open set O of $\mathcal{H}$; equivalently, if $s \mapsto (f(s) \,|\, h) : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a Borel function for each $h \in \mathcal{H}$. We use a left-invariant Haar measure on G.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The group $U(\mathcal{A})$ of unitary elements in $\mathcal{A}$ is a Polish group in the ultra-weak topology, and it is then a closed subgroup of $U(\mathcal{H})$. The group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ of automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ is Polish in the topology of pointwise ultra-weak convergence; this is called the u-topology in [4, Definition 3.4]. For $u \in U(\mathcal{A})$, we denote by $\operatorname{Ad} u$ the automorphism $a \mapsto uau^*$ of $\mathcal{A}$, and note that $\operatorname{Ad} : U(\mathcal{A}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ is a continuous homomorphism.

Definition 1. A *twisted action* of G on $\mathcal{A}$ is a pair (α, σ) of maps $\alpha : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\sigma : G \times G \to U(\mathcal{A})$ such that

1. α and σ are Borel,
2. $\alpha_e = \mathrm{id}, \sigma(e, s) = \sigma(s, e) = 1$ for $s \in G$,
3. $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \operatorname{Ad} \sigma(s, t) \circ \alpha_{st}$ for $s, t \in G$, and
4. $\alpha_r(\sigma(s, t))\sigma(r, st) = \sigma(r, s)\sigma(rs, t)$ for $r, s, t \in G$.

Two twisted actions (α, σ) and (β, ω) of G on $\mathcal{A}$ are *exterior equivalent* if there is a Borel map $\nu : G \to U(\mathcal{A})$ such that

1. $\beta_s = \operatorname{Ad} \nu_s \circ \alpha_s$, and
2. $\omega(s, t) = \nu_s \alpha_s(\nu_t)\sigma(s, t)\nu_{st}^*$.

These definitions are the von-Neumann algebraic analogues of [13, Definitions 2.1 and 3.1]. Our definition of twisted action is slightly different from the one used in [16, Definition 2.1], where the map $s \mapsto \alpha_s$ is required to be continuous.

Main Results. In Theorem 2 we prove that the obstruction to extending a G-invariant unitary representation π of N is a twisted action of G/N on the von Neumann algebra $\pi(N)'$, and in Theorem 4 we discuss the extension problem in the context of non-abelian duality for amenable groups G. We reconcile the two approaches in Remark 5; to do so one needs to understand not only the statement of Theorem 2 but also its proof.

Theorem 2. *Let N be a closed normal subgroup of a second-countable locally compact group G. Suppose $\pi : N \to U(\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation of N which is G-invariant. Then there is a twisted action (α, σ) of G/N on the commutant $\pi(N)'$ of $\pi(N)$ such that π extends to a unitary representation ρ of G on $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if (α, σ) is exterior equivalent to an action.*

Proof. We start by constructing the twisted action (α, σ). Since π^s is unitarily equivalent to π for all $s \in G$, there exist unitary operators $W_s \in U(\mathcal{H})$ such that $W_s\pi(n)W_s^* = \pi(sns^{-1})$. We claim that we can choose W_s such that the map $s \mapsto W_s$ is Borel. To see this, let

$$H = \{(W, s) : W \in U(\mathcal{H}), s \in G \text{ and } W\pi(n)W^* = \pi(sns^{-1}) \text{ for } n \in N\}.$$

Then H is a subgroup of $U(\mathcal{H}) \times G$; we claim that H is closed. So suppose that the net $(W_\beta, s_\beta) \in H$ converges to (W, s). Then $W_\beta\pi(n)$ converges strongly to $W\pi(n)$ for each $n \in N$, and since multiplication in $U(\mathcal{H})$ is jointly continuous, $\pi(s_\beta n s_\beta^{-1})W_\beta$ converges strongly to $\pi(sns^{-1})W$. Thus $W\pi(n) = \pi(sns^{-1})W$, and H is closed. Now H is Polish since it is a closed subgroup of a Polish group, and the quotient map $H \to G : (W, s) \mapsto s$ has a Borel section $s \mapsto (W_s, s)$ by [11, Proposition 4].

Another application of [11, Proposition 4] shows that the quotient map $G \to G/N$ admits a Borel section $c : G/N \to G$. We set

$$V_s = W_{c(sN)}\pi(c(sN)^{-1}s). \tag{1}$$

Then $s \mapsto V_s$ is Borel and $V_{sn} = V_s\pi(n)$ for $s \in G$ and $n \in N$. We define $\alpha_s = \operatorname{Ad} V_s$. For $T \in \pi(N)'$ and $s \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_s(T)\pi(n) &= V_sTV_s^*\pi(n) = V_sT(V_s^*\pi(n)V_s)V_s^* = V_sT\pi(s^{-1}ns)V_s^* \\ &= V_s\pi(s^{-1}ns)TV_s^* = V_s\pi(s^{-1}ns)V_s^*V_sTV_s^* = \pi(n)\alpha_s(T);\end{aligned}$$

thus $\alpha_s : \pi(N)' \to \pi(N)'$, and α_s is an automorphism of $\pi(N)'$ because V_s is unitary.

To see that $s \mapsto \alpha_s : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(\pi(N)')$ is Borel, we will show that if V_β converges to V in the strong operator topology and $\operatorname{Ad} V_\beta$ and $\operatorname{Ad} V$ leave $\pi(N)'$ invariant, then $\operatorname{Ad} V_\beta$ converges to $\operatorname{Ad} V$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi(N)')$. It then follows that $s \mapsto \alpha_s : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(\pi(N)')$ is Borel because $s \mapsto V_s$ is Borel. The topology on $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi(N)')$ is the topology generated by the seminorms $\alpha \mapsto \|f \circ \alpha\|$, where $f \in \pi(N)'_*$ and the pre-dual $\pi(N)'_*$ has been identified with the ultra-weakly continuous functionals on $\pi(N)'$. The ultra-weakly continuous functionals on $\pi(N)'$ have the form $f(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(Th_n \,|\, k_n)$ where $h_n, k_n \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfy $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h_n\|^2, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|k_n\|^2 < \infty$ (see, for example, [5, pages 482–483]). Let $\epsilon > 0$. If K is the maximum of $\big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h_n\|^2\big)^{1/2}$ and $\big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|k_n\|^2\big)^{1/2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f \circ \operatorname{Ad} V_\beta - f \circ \operatorname{Ad} V\| &= \sup\big\{\|f(V_\beta TV_\beta^* - VTV^*)\| : \|T\| = 1, T \in \pi(N)'\big\} \\ &= \sup\Big\{\Big|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(V_\beta^*h_n \,|\, T^*V_\beta^*k_n) - (TV^*h_n \,|\, V^*k_n)\Big| : \|T\| = 1, T \in \pi(N)'\Big\} \\ &\le \sup\Big\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(V_\beta^* - V^*)h_n\| \, \|T^*V_\beta^*k_n\| + \|TV^*h_n\| \, \|(V_\beta^* - V^*)k_n\|\Big\} \\ &\le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(V_\beta^* - V^*)h_n\| \, \|k_n\| + \|h_n\| \, \|(V_\beta^* - V^*)k_n\| \\ &\le K\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(V_\beta^* - V^*)h_n\|^2\Big)^{1/2} + K\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(V_\beta^* - V^*)k_n\|^2\Big)^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

by Hölder's inequality. Since each V_β is a normal operator, we have $V_\beta^* \to V^*$. Now choose $N > 0$ such that $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \|h_n\|^2 < \epsilon^2(16K^2)^{-1}$ and $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \|k_n\|^2 < \epsilon^2(16K^2)^{-1}$. Then, for each $n < N$, choose an open neighbourhood O_n of V^* such that

$$\|(V_\beta^* - V^*)h_n\|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{8(N-1)K^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|(V_\beta^* - V^*)k_n\|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{8(N-1)K^2}$$

whenever $V_\beta^* \in O_n$, and check that if $V_\beta^* \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{N-1} O_n$ then (2) $< \epsilon$. This proves that $\operatorname{Ad} V_\beta$ converges to $\operatorname{Ad} V$, and it follows that $\alpha : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(\pi(N)') : s \mapsto \operatorname{Ad} V_s$ is Borel.

Next we define $\sigma(s,t) = V_s V_t V_{st}^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(s,t)\pi(n) &= V_s V_t V_{st}^* \pi(n) = V_s V_t \pi((st)^{-1}nst)V_{st}^*\\ &= V_s V_t \pi((st)^{-1}nst)V_t^* V_t V_{st}^*\\ &= V_s \pi(s^{-1}ns)V_t V_{st}^* = \pi(n)V_s V_t V_{st}^*\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in N$, so $\sigma : G \times G \to U(\pi(N)')$. Note that σ is Borel because $s \mapsto V_s$ is Borel and both $V_s \mapsto V_s^*$ and $(s,t) \mapsto st$ are continuous. The equation $V_{sn} = V_s\pi(n)$ implies that $\sigma(s,n) = 1 = \sigma(n,s)$ for $s \in G$ and $n \in N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(r,s)\sigma(rs,t) &= V_r V_s V_{rs}^* V_{rs} V_t V_{rst}^* = V_r V_s V_t V_{rst}^*\\ &= V_r V_s V_t (V_{st}^* V_r^* V_r V_{st}) V_{rst}^* = \alpha_r(\sigma(s,t))\sigma(r,st),\end{aligned}$$

and, for $T \in \pi(N)'$,

$$\alpha_s(\alpha_t(T)) = V_s V_t T V_t^* V_s^* = V_s V_t V_{st}^* V_{st} T V_{st}^* V_{st} V_t^* V_s^* = \sigma(s,t)\alpha_{st}(T)\sigma(s,t)^*. \tag{3}$$

Thus (α,σ) is a twisted action of G on $\pi(N)'$. But α_s depends only on sN since

$$\alpha_{sn}(T) = V_s\pi(n)T\pi(n)^*V_s^* = V_s\pi(n)\pi(n)^*TV_s^* = \alpha_s(T)$$

for all $n \in N$. We also have

$$\sigma(s,tn) = V_s V_{tn} V_{stn}^* = V_s V_t \pi(n)^* V_{st}^* = \sigma(s,t),$$

and $\sigma(sn,t) = \sigma(sns^{-1}s,t) = \sigma(s,t)$ because $\sigma(ns,t) = \sigma(s,t)$. So we can view (α,σ) as a twisted action of G/N on $\pi(N)'$.

Now suppose that π extends to a continuous representation ρ of G on $\mathcal{H}$. Let V_s be as in (1) and define $\nu : G/N \to U(\mathcal{H})$ by $\nu_{sN} = \rho(s)V_s^*$. Then ν is Borel because $s \mapsto V_s^*$ is Borel and ρ is continuous, and

$$\omega(sN,tN) := \nu_{sN}\alpha_s(\nu_{tN})\sigma(sN,tN)\nu_{stN}^* = 1. \tag{4}$$

If $\beta_{sN} := \operatorname{Ad}\nu_{sN} \circ \alpha_{sN}$, then we can deduce from (4) and (3) that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{sN} \circ \beta_{tN} &= (\operatorname{Ad}\nu_{sN} \circ \alpha_{sN}) \circ (\operatorname{Ad}\nu_{tN} \circ \alpha_{tN}) = \operatorname{Ad}\nu_{sN} \circ \alpha_{sN} \circ \operatorname{Ad}\nu_{tN} \circ \alpha_{tN}\\ &= \operatorname{Ad}(\nu_{sN}\alpha_{sN}(\nu_{tN})) \circ \alpha_{sN} \circ \alpha_{tN} = \operatorname{Ad}(\nu_{stN}\sigma(sN,tN)^*) \circ \alpha_{sN} \circ \alpha_{tN}\\ &= \operatorname{Ad}\nu_{stN} \circ \alpha_{stN} = \beta_{stN}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta : G/N \to \operatorname{Aut}(\pi(N)')$ is a Borel homomorphism between Polish groups, it is continuous by [11, Proposition 5]. Thus $(\beta, 1)$ is an ordinary action, and (α,σ) is exterior equivalent to an action.

Conversely, if (α,σ) is exterior equivalent to an action, then there exists a Borel map $\nu : G/N \to U(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\nu_{sN}\alpha_{sN}(\nu_{tN})\sigma(sN,tN)\nu_{stN}^* = 1$. Set $\rho(s) = \nu_{sN}V_s$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(s)\rho(t) &= \nu_{sN}V_s\nu_{tN}V_t = \nu_{sN}\alpha_{sN}(\nu_{tN})V_sV_t\\ &= \nu_{sN}\alpha_{sN}(\nu_{tN})\sigma(sN,tN)V_{st} = \nu_{stN}V_{st} = \rho(st).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\rho : G \to U(\mathcal{H})$ is a Borel homomorphism between Polish groups, and hence is continuous by [11, Proposition 5]; ρ is the required extension of π. □

Corollary 3. *If $\pi : N \to U(\mathcal{H})$ is a G-invariant unitary representation of N, then there is a unitary representation ρ of G on $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G/N)$ such that $\rho|_N = \pi \otimes 1$.*

Proof. Let (α, σ) be the twisted action of G/N on $\pi(N)'$ constructed above. Then the twisted action $(\beta, \omega) := (\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}, \sigma \otimes 1)$ of G/N on $\pi(N)' \otimes B(L^2(G/N)) = (\pi \otimes 1)(N)'$ is the obstruction to extending $\pi \otimes 1$. We will show that (β, ω) is exterior equivalent to an action. Similar "stabilisation tricks" have been used in [17, Proposition 2.1.3] and [13, Theorem 3.4], for example.

We begin by identifying $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G/N)$ with the space $L^2(G/N, \mathcal{H})$ of Bochner square-integrable functions. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is separable, $\xi \in L^2(G/N, \mathcal{H})$ if and only if ξ is a Borel function from G/N to $\mathcal{H}$ and $\int_{G/N} \|\xi(sN)\|^2 \, d(sN) < \infty$. Define $\nu : G/N \to U(L^2(G/N, \mathcal{H}))$ by

$$(\nu_{tN}\xi)(rN) = \sigma(tN, t^{-1}r^{-1}N)^* \xi(rtN)\Delta(tN)^{1/2},$$

where Δ is the modular function of G/N and $\xi \in L^2(G/N, \mathcal{H})$. (The modular function is necessary to ensure that ν_{tN} is unitary.) Then

$$(\nu_{tN}^*\xi)(rN) = \sigma(tN, r^{-1}N)\xi(rt^{-1}N)\Delta(tN)^{-1/2},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(\beta_{sN}(\nu_{tN}^*)\nu_{sN}^*\nu_{stN}\xi)(rN) &= \alpha_{sN}(\sigma(tN, r^{-1}N))(\nu_{sN}^*\nu_{stN}\xi)(rt^{-1}N)\Delta(tN)^{-1/2} \\
&= \alpha_{sN}(\sigma(tN, r^{-1}N))\sigma(sN, tr^{-1}N)(\nu_{stN}\xi)(rt^{-1}s^{-1}N)\Delta(stN)^{-1/2} \\
&= \alpha_{sN}(\sigma(tN, r^{-1}N))\sigma(sN, tr^{-1}N)\sigma(stN, r^{-1}N)^*\xi(rN) \\
&= \sigma(sN, tN)\xi(rN) \\
&= (\sigma(sN, tN) \otimes 1)\xi(rN) \\
&= \omega(sN, tN)\xi(rN).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\nu_{sN}\beta_{sN}(\nu_{tN})\omega(sN, tN)\nu_{stN}^* = 1. \tag{5}$$

If we now define $\gamma : G/N \to \mathrm{Aut}(\pi(N)')$ by $\gamma_{sN} = \mathrm{Ad}\,\nu_{sN} \circ \beta_{sN}$, then (5) implies that γ is a homomorphism. It remains to show that ν is Borel, and it then follows from [11, Proposition 5] that $\gamma = \mathrm{Ad}\,\nu \circ \beta : G/N \to \mathrm{Aut}(\pi(N)')$ is continuous.

Since $U(L^2(G/N, \mathcal{H}))$ has the strong operator topology, ν is Borel if and only if $sN \mapsto \nu_{sN}\xi$ is Borel for every $\xi \in L^2(G/N, \mathcal{H})$, and hence if and only if $sN \mapsto (\nu_{sN}\xi \,|\, \eta)$ is Borel for every $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G/N, \mathcal{H})$. Since $(U, h) \mapsto Uh$ is continuous, the map $(sN, tN, rN) \mapsto (\sigma(sN, tN), \xi(rN)) \mapsto \sigma(sN, tN)\xi(rN)$ is Borel, and hence so is

$$(tN, rN) \mapsto \left|\big(\sigma(tN, t^{-1}r^{-1}N)^*\xi(rtN) \,\big|\, \eta(rN)\big)\right| \tag{6}$$

Since (6) is dominated by $\|\xi(rtN)\| \, \|\eta(rN)\|$, and an application of Tonelli's Theorem shows that this is integrable over $G/N \times G/N$, it follows from Fubini's Theorem that

$$tN \mapsto \int_{G/N} \big(\sigma(tN, t^{-1}r^{-1}N)^*\xi(rtN) \,\big|\, \eta(rN)\big) \, d(rN)$$

defines, almost everywhere, an integrable (and therefore Borel) function. Multiplying by $\Delta(tN)^{1/2}$ shows that $tN \mapsto (\nu_{tN}\xi \,|\, \eta)$ is Borel. Thus ν is Borel and γ is continuous.

Thus ν implements an exterior equivalence between (β, ω) and the ordinary action $(\gamma, 1)$. It now follows from Theorem 2 that there is a representation ρ of G with $\rho|_N = \pi \otimes 1$. ☐

The irreducible case. When the representation π of N is irreducible, the commutant $\pi(N)'$ is $\mathbb{C}1$, the action α is trivial, and the obstruction σ to extending π is a Borel cocycle in the Moore cohomology group $H^2(G/N, \mathbb{T})$. Thus we recover Mackey's [7, Theorem 8.2] as it applies to ordinary (that is, non-projective) irreducible representations.

When the obstruction σ is non-trivial, we can recover Corollary 3 from another important part of the Mackey machine [7, Theorem 8.3]: π extends to a projective representation U of G with cocycle $\sigma \circ (q \times q)$, and tensoring with an irreducible $\overline{\sigma}$-representation W of G/N gives an irreducible representation $U \otimes (W \circ q)$ of G whose restriction to N is a multiple $\pi \otimes 1$ of π.

Applications to compact Lie groups. When Γ is a compact connected Lie group, Moore computed $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{T})$ as follows. Let $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ be the simply connected covering group of Γ; then the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to a central subgroup of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and $\Gamma \cong \widetilde{\Gamma}/\pi_1(\Gamma)$. An inflation and restriction sequence identifies $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{T})$ with the quotient of the dual group $\pi_1(\Gamma)^\wedge = \operatorname{Hom}(\pi_1(\Gamma), \mathbb{T})$ by the image of the restriction map $\operatorname{Res} : (\widetilde{\Gamma})^\wedge \to \pi_1(\Gamma)^\wedge$ [9, page 55].

When $\Gamma = \mathbb{T}^n$, we have $\pi_1(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \mathbb{R}^n$, and the restriction map $\mathbb{R}^n = (\mathbb{R}^n)^\wedge \mapsto \mathbb{T}^n = (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\wedge$ is onto by duality. Thus $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{T}) = 0$. Theorem 2 thus implies that if $G/N \cong \mathbb{T}^n$, then every G-invariant irreducible unitary representation of N extends to G. Because representations of compact groups are direct sums of irreducible representations, this observation includes [1, Corollary 3.5], and hence also [1, Theorem 1.1].

For non-compact groups G, it is not clear how one might prove Corollary 3 by reducing to the irreducible case: the analogue of the direct-sum decomposition would be a direct-integral decomposition, but not every unitary representation is a direct integral of irreducible representations. (See [6, §10] for a discussion of decomposing representations as direct integrals.)

The nonabelian duality approach. If $\alpha : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ is a strongly continuous action of a locally compact group G on a C^*-algebra A, a *covariant representation* of (A, G, α) consists of a representation μ of A and a unitary representation U of G such that

$$\mu(\alpha_t(a)) = U_t \mu(a) U_t^* \quad \text{for } a \in A \text{ and } t \in G;$$

covariant representations can take values either in abstract C^*-algebras or in the concrete C^*-algebra $B(\mathcal{H})$. The *crossed product* $A \times_\alpha G$ is the C^*-algebra generated by a universal covariant representation in the multiplier algebra $M(A \times_\alpha G)$ (see [14] for details of what this means). The covariant representations (μ, U) of (A, G, α) therefore give representations $\mu \times U$ of $A \times_\alpha G$, and all representations of $A \times_\alpha G$ have this form. We shall be particularly interested in the actions $\operatorname{lt} : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(C_0(G/N))$ and $\operatorname{rt} : G/N \to \operatorname{Aut}(C_0(G/N))$ defined by

$$\operatorname{lt}_s(f)(uN) = f(s^{-1}uN) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{rt}_{tN}(f)(uN) = f(utN).$$

The automorphisms rt_{tN} commute with the automorphisms lt_s, and hence induce an action β of G/N on the crossed product $C_0(G/N) \times_{\operatorname{lt}} G$.

If π is a unitary representation of N, then the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}\pi$ of G acts in the completion $\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Ind}\pi)$ of

$$\{\xi \in C_b(G, \mathcal{H}) : \xi(tn) = \pi(n)^{-1}(\xi(t)) \text{ and } (tN \mapsto \|\xi(t)\|) \in C_c(G/N)\}$$

with respect to the inner product $(\xi \mid \eta) = \int_{G/N} (\xi(t) \mid \eta(t))\, d(tN)$, according to the formula $(\operatorname{Ind}\pi)_t(\xi)(r) = \xi(t^{-1}r)$. (See, for example, [15, page 296]; because N is normal there is a G-invariant measure on G/N, and we can take the rho-function in the usual formula to be 1.)

Let M be the representation of $C_0(G/N)$ by multiplication operators on $\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Ind}\pi)$, and note that $(M, \operatorname{Ind}\pi)$ is a covariant representation of $(C_0(G/N), G, \mathrm{lt})$. The nonabelian duality approach to the extension problem yields the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that N is a closed normal subgroup of an amenable and second-countable locally compact group G, and suppose that π is a unitary representation $\pi : N \to U(\mathcal{H})$. Then π extends to a unitary representation of G if and only if there exists a unitary representation $Q : G/N \to U(\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Ind}\pi))$ such that $(M \times \operatorname{Ind}\pi, Q)$ is a covariant representation of $(C_0(G/N) \times_{\mathrm{lt}} G, G/N, \beta)$.*

Proof. The induction-restriction theory of [3] says that π is the restriction of a representation of G if and only if $M \times \operatorname{Ind}\pi$ is induced, in a dual sense, from a representation of the group C^*-algebra $C^*(G) = \mathbb{C} \times G$. To deduce this from [3, Theorem 5.16], we need to recall some ideas of nonabelian duality. The group C^*-algebra $C^*(G)$ is generated by a universal unitary representation $\iota : G \to UM(C^*(G))$. The comultiplication $\delta : C^*(G) \to M(C^*(G) \otimes C^*(G))$ is the representation corresponding to the unitary representation $\iota \otimes \iota$; it has a restriction $\delta|$ which is a coaction of G/N on $C^*(G)$. Since G is amenable, $C^*(G)$ coincides with the reduced group C^*-algebra $C^*_r(G)$, and hence we can apply results from [3] and [8] concerning reduced crossed products. In particular, we can induce representations from $C^*(G)$ to the coaction crossed product $C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N$ by tensoring with a $(C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N)$–$C^*(G)$ bimodule Y constructed by Mansfield [8]; the resulting map on representations is denoted by Y-Ind.

We recall from [15, Theorem C.23] that there is a Morita equivalence between $C_0(G/N) \times_{\mathrm{lt}} G$ and $C^*(N)$ which is implemented by an imprimitivity bimodule X; we denote by X-Ind the corresponding map on representations. The algebras $C_0(G/N) \times_{\mathrm{lt}} G$ and $C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N$ have exactly the same covariant representations, and hence are isomorphic (see, for example, [3, Theorem A.64]). Thus we can view X as a $(C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N)$–$C^*(N)$ bimodule. Theorem 5.16 of [3] (with $A = \mathbb{C}$ and $M = G$) says that, provided G is amenable, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Rep} C^*(G) \arrow[rr, "\mathrm{Res}"] \arrow[dr, "Y\text{-Ind}"'] & & \operatorname{Rep} C^*(N) \arrow[dl, "X\text{-Ind}"] \\
& \operatorname{Rep}(C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since X-Ind is a bijection, it follows that a representation π of $C^*(N)$ extends to a representation of $C^*(G)$ if and only if X-Ind π is in the range of Y-Ind.

To deduce Theorem 4 from this, we have to make two observations. First, the representation X-Ind π of $C_0(G/N) \times_{\mathrm{lt}} G$ is equivalent to $M \times \operatorname{Ind}\pi$. To see this, note that the intertwining unitary isomorphism W of $(X \otimes_{C^*(N)} \mathcal{H}, X\text{-Ind}\,\pi)$ onto $(\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Ind}\pi), \operatorname{Ind}\pi)$ constructed in the proof of [15, Theorem C.33] carries the left action of $C_0(G/N)$ into

M. Second, we recall from Mansfield's imprimitivity theorem [8, Theorem 28] that a representation μ of $C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N$ has the form Y-$\operatorname{Ind} \rho$ if and only if there is a unitary representation Q of G/N on $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ such that (μ, Q) is covariant for the dual action $(\delta|)^\wedge$ of G/N. Since [3, Theorem A.64] also says that the isomorphism of $C_0(G/N) \times_{\mathrm{lt}} G$ onto $C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N$ carries the action β into $(\delta|)^\wedge$, the result follows. $\square$

Remark 5. Comparing Theorem 4 with Theorem 2, it is natural to ask what happened to the hypothesis "π is G-invariant". Suppose π is G-invariant, so that there exist unitary operators W_s on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $W_s \pi(n) W_s^* = \pi(sns^{-1})$. Then

$$U_s(\xi)(t) = W_s(\xi(ts))\Delta(sN)^{1/2}$$

defines a unitary operator U_s on $\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Ind}\pi)$ which intertwines the covariant representations $(M, \operatorname{Ind}\pi)$ and $(M \circ \mathrm{rt}_{sN}, \operatorname{Ind}\pi)$. So $R_{sN} := U_{c(sN)}$ defines a map $R : G/N \to U(\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Ind}\pi))$ which formally satisfies the covariance relations but is not necessarily a representation.

Our original extension problem for a G-invariant representation $\pi : N \to U(\mathcal{H})$ therefore reduces to:

> Given a representation ϕ of $C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N$ such that $\phi \circ (\delta|)^\wedge_{sN}$ is equivalent to ϕ for every $sN \in G/N$, is there a representation Q of G/N such that (ϕ, Q) is covariant for $(C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N, G/N, (\delta|)^\wedge)$?

Since there are by hypothesis unitary operators R_{sN} such that $\phi \circ (\delta|)^\wedge_{sN} = \operatorname{Ad} R_{sN} \circ \phi$, we can repeat the analysis of Theorem 2 to see that there is a twisted action (β, ω) of G/N on the commutant of the range of ϕ, such that (β, ω) is exterior equivalent to an ordinary action if and only if we can adjust the R_{sN} to obtain the required representation Q. Thus $\beta_{sN} = \operatorname{Ad} R_{sN}$ and, for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Ind}\pi)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(rN, sN)(\xi)(t) &= R_{rN} R_{sN} R^*_{rsN}(\xi)(t) = U_{c(rN)} U_{c(sN)} U^*_{c(rsN)}(\xi)(t) \\
&= W_{c(rN)} W_{c(sN)} W^*_{c(rsN)}(\xi(tc(rN)c(sN)c(rsN)^{-1})) \\
&= W_{c(rN)} W_{c(sN)} W^*_{c(rsN)} \pi(c(rN)c(sN)c(rsN)^{-1})^{-1}(\xi(t)) \\
&= W_{c(rN)} W_{c(sN)} W^*_{c(rsN)} \pi(c(rsN)c(sN)^{-1}c(rN)^{-1})(\xi(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that the obstruction (β, ω) is essentially the same as the obstruction (α, σ) to extending π from Theorem 2. To see this, we first identify $\pi(N)'$ with $\phi(C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N)'$ when $\phi = M \times \operatorname{Ind}\pi$. If $T \in \pi(N)'$, then the formula $1 \otimes T(\xi)(t) = T(\xi(t))$ defines an operator in $\phi(C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N)'$. When we view $\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Ind}\pi)$ as $X \otimes_{C^*(N)} \mathcal{H}$, then we recover $\mathcal{H}$ as $\widetilde{X} \otimes_{C^*(G)\times G/N} (X \otimes_{C^*(N)} \mathcal{H})$, where $\widetilde{X}$ is the dual imprimitivity bimodule, and the natural isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\widetilde{X} \otimes_{C^*(G)\times G/N} (X \otimes_{C^*(N)} \mathcal{H})$ takes T to $1 \otimes 1 \otimes T$. Thus $T \mapsto 1 \otimes T$ is an isomorphism of $\pi(N)'$ onto $\phi(C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N)'$.

With V as in Equation (1), the cocycle σ in the twisted action (α, σ) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(rN, sN) &= V_r V_s V^*_{rs} \\
&= W_{c(rN)} \pi(c(rN)^{-1}r) W_{c(sN)} \pi(c(sN)^{-1}s) \pi(c(rsN)^{-1}rs)^{-1} W^*_{c(rsN)} \\
&= W_{c(rN)} W_{c(sN)} \pi(c(sN)^{-1}c(rN)^{-1}rc(sN)) \pi(c(sN)^{-1}s) \pi(s^{-1}r^{-1}c(rsN)) W^*_{c(rsN)} \\
&= W_{c(rN)} W_{c(sN)} \pi(c(sN)^{-1}c(rN)^{-1}c(rsN)) W^*_{c(rsN)} \\
&= W_{c(rN)} W_{c(sN)} W^*_{c(rsN)} \pi(c(rsN)c(sN)^{-1}c(rN)^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus with this choice of R_{sN}, we have $\omega(rN, sN) = 1 \otimes \sigma(rN, sN)$, and for $T \in \pi(N)'$,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{sN}(1 \otimes T)(\xi)(t) &= R_{sN}(1 \otimes T)R_{sN}^*(\xi)(t)\\ &= W_{c(sN)}TW_{c(sN)}^*(\xi(t))\\ &= (1 \otimes V_sTV_s^*)(\xi)(t)\\ &= (1 \otimes \alpha_s(T))(\xi)(t).\end{aligned}$$

So the isomorphism of $\pi(N)'$ onto $\phi(C^*(G) \times_{\delta|} G/N)'$ carries (α, σ) into the twisted action (β, ω) which obstructs the existence of Q. Thus, reassuringly, the cohomological obstruction to finding Q is identical to the obstruction to extending π.

References

[1] J.W. Cho, M.K. Kim and D.Y Suh, *On extensions of representations for compact Lie groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **178** (2003), 245–254.

[2] A.H. Clifford, *Representations induced in an invariant subgroup*, Ann. of Math. **38** (1937), 533–550.

[3] S. Echterhoff, S. Kaliszewski, J. Quigg and I. Raeburn, *A categorical approach to imprimitivity theorems for C^*-dynamical systems*, preprint, arXiv:math.OA/0205322.

[4] U. Haagerup, *The standard form of von Neumann algebras*, Math Scand. **37** (1975), 271–283.

[5] R.V. Kadison and J.R. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras II, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997.

[6] G.W. Mackey, *Borel structure in groups and their duals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 134–165.

[7] G.W. Mackey, *Unitary representations of group extensions. I*, Acta Math **99** (1958), 265–311.

[8] K. Mansfield, *Induced representations of crossed products by coactions*, J. Funct. Anal. **97** (1991), 112–161.

[9] C.C. Moore, *Extensions and low dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1964), 40–63.

[10] C.C. Moore, *Extensions and low dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1964), 64–86.

[11] C.C. Moore, *Group extensions and cohomology for locally compact groups. III*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **221** (1976), 1–33.

[12] C.C. Moore, *Group extensions and cohomology for locally compact groups. IV*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **221** (1976), 35–58.

[13] J.A. Packer and I. Raeburn, *Twisted crossed products of C^*-algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **106** (1989), 293–311.

[14] I. Raeburn, *On crossed products and Takai duality*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **31** (1988), 321–330.

[15] I. Raeburn and D.P. Williams, Morita Equivalence and Continuous-Trace C^*-Algebras, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 60, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998.

[16] C.E. Sutherland, *Cohomology and extensions of von Neumann algebras. I*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **16** (1980), 105–133.

[17] C.E. Sutherland, *Cohomology and extensions of von Neumann algebras. II*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **16** (1980), 135–174.

School of Mathematics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia
E-mail address: `astrid@unsw.edu.au`

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Newcastle, NSW 2308, Australia
E-mail address: `iain@frey.newcastle.edu.au`